

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

MARIA M. GONZALEZ, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

STATE OF ARIZONA, et al.

Defendants.

No. CV06-01268 PHX ROS
No. CV06-1362 PCT JAT (Cons)
No. CV06-1575 PHX EHC (Cons)

[PROPOSED] ORDER

(Assigned to the Honorable
Roslyn O. Silver)

The Court has considered the State Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(b) Directed to the Gonzalez Plaintiffs and Memorandum in Support, which was filed by defendants State of Arizona and the Arizona Secretary of State (collectively, “State Defendants”) (Dkt. no. ____). The Court also has considered the Declaration of Counsel and exhibits thereto, and the Declaration of Dr. Jeffrey Zax which were submitted by the State Defendants in support of their motion (Dkt. nos. ____, ____), the response of the Gonzalez Plaintiffs, and the State Defendants’ reply.

The Court finds good cause to grant the motion.

IT IS ORDERED therefore granting State Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(b) Directed to the Gonzalez Plaintiffs (Dkt. no. ____).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED granting summary judgment in favor of the State Defendants on all claims asserted by plaintiffs Arizona Hispanic Community Forum, Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now, Chicanos Por La Causa, Inc., Common Cause, Friendly House, Project Vote, Southwest Voter Registration

1 Education Project, Valle Del Sol, Maria M. Gonzalez, Jesus M. Gonzalez, Bernie
2 Abeytia, Debbie Lopez, and Georgia Morrison-Flores, in Case No. CV06-1268.

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DATED this _____ day of _____, 2008.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28