

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Maria M. Gonzalez, et al.,)	No. CV 06-1268-PHX-ROS
Plaintiffs,)	ORDER
vs.)	
State of Arizona, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	

IT IS ORDERED Defendants’ Motion to Quash (Doc. 924) is **GRANTED IN PART** and **DENIED IN PART**. The subpoenas for Helen Purcell and Ann Rodriguez are quashed. However, Defendants shall work with Plaintiffs to try to stipulate to their relevant testimony.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED Plaintiffs’ Motion for Leave to Introduce Testimony by Hearing Transcript (Doc. 925) is **GRANTED** provided that Defendants do not object when the transcript testimony is offered at trial or cannot establish prejudice from its introduction.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED Plaintiffs’ Motion for Sanctions (Doc. 890) is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED Plaintiffs’ Motion to File Amended Witness List (Doc. 877) is **DENIED**.

1 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** Plaintiffs’ Motion to File Exhibits Non-Electronically
2 (Doc. 873) is **GRANTED**.

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** Plaintiffs’ Motion for Leave to File Corrected
4 Document (Doc. 869) is **DENIED**.

5 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** Defendants’ Motion in Limine re: Acorn Survey
6 Forms (Doc. 817) is **DENIED**.

7 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** Defendants’ Motion in Limine re: Pending Criminal
8 Cases (Doc. 818) is **GRANTED**.

9 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** Defendants’ Motion in Limine re: Plaintiffs’ Expert
10 Opinions (Doc. 820) is **DENIED** unless the expert offered untimely disclosed new opinions.

11 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** Defendants’ Motion in Limine re: Late Disclosure of
12 Witnesses (Doc. 821) is **GRANTED**. Plaintiffs’ explanation of the late disclosure was
13 insufficient to excuse their lateness.

14 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** Defendants’ Motion in Limine re: Agnes Laughter
15 and Arturo Rosales (Doc. 822) is **DENIED** as to Agnes Laughter as long as she is not
16 testifying in person. The Court notes Plaintiffs have withdrawn the deposition of Arturo
17 Rosales.


18 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** Defendants’ Motions in Limine re: Untimely
19 Evidence (Docs. 823 & 846) are **DENIED**.

20 **IT IS ORDERED** Plaintiffs’ Motion in Limine (Doc. 825) is **GRANTED IN PART**
21 and **DENIED IN PART**—(1) “list of individuals about whom USCIS requested information
22 regarding voter registration and voting history”: **GRANTED**; (2) “list of individuals whose
23 voter registrations were cancelled upon notification by the jury commissioner that the
24 individuals were convicted felons”: **DENIED**; (3) “list of the number of voter registration
25 forms submitted by Petition Partners and rate of acceptability”: **GRANTED**; and (4) “court
26 records of the following cases involving election-related crimes”: **GRANTED IN PART**
27 and **DENIED IN PART**—(a) exhibits related to Rouse, to convicted felons, and to persons
28

1 accused but not convicted are **GRANTED**; (b) exhibits related to persons that were
2 convicted or pled guilty to “presentment of false instrument” are **DENIED**.

3 DATED this 8th day of July, 2008.

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



Roslyn O. Silver
United States District Judge