

Exhibit A

1/10/2008		PUBLIC REQUESTING LETTERS FOR ICE										
DATE	NAME	BIRTH COUNTRY	VOTER I.D.-AFF*	BATCH #	BATCH FROM:	REGISTERED?	VOTED?					
8/26/2006	ANDREWS, CARMELLA ROSITA	BELIZE		4182	Az Rep Party	Y	N					
7/10/2006	ARCE, ALMA LORENIA	UNKNOWN	N/A			N	N					
7/31/2007	ARVAYO, LUIS REY	MEXICO				Y						
3/6/2007	AVILA, LUIS ALONSO	UNKNOWN			MVD	Y	N					
4/17/2006	BADALIAN, GEORGE	IRAN				Y	Y					
8/18/2006	BALLESTEROS, JORGE ARMANDO	MEXICO				Y	Y					
8/18/2006	BALLESTEROS, MARIA EUGENIA CASTILLO	MEXICO				Y	N					
6/8/2006	BELTRAN-DUARTE, RODOLFO	UNKNOWN	N/A			N	N					
8/25/2003	BENAVIDEZ, DANIEL GOMEZ	MEXICO				Y	Y					
6/18/2007	BERNAL, NORMA LOPEZ	MEXICO			MVD	Y	N					
12/7/2007	BLACK, CLAUDIA	UNKNOWN				Y	Y					
4/16/2007	BUI, ANDY KHOA DANG	VIETNAM				Y	N					
8/13/2003	BUSHONG, LETICIA AVILA	MEXICO				Y	Y					
3/5/2007	BUTRUS, IWLIFAR A.	UNKNOWN			Service Az	Y	N					
9/25/2006	CARBAJAL, GUILLERMO ARCADIO	??		370	ACORN	Y	N					
5/27/2005	CARCAMO, CARLOS ALBERTO	HONDURAS				Y	N					
3/4/2004	CASTANEDA, ALBERTO	UNKNOWN		1031	ACORN	Y	N					
8/2/2005	CASTILLO JR., EMILO R.	MEXICO				Y	N					
6/4/2007	CESAN, MERIMA	UNKNOWN										
10/28/2007	CHAJ, RODOLFO LEONEL AGUSTIN	GUATEMALA			Service Az	Y	N					
9/22/2006	CHAVEZ, ANA	MEXICO				Y	N					
4/27/2005	DAG, MURAT	TURKEY				Y	N					
9/25/2006	DAVIDSON, JENNIFER	???		564	AZ Dem Party	Y	N					
6/18/2007	DE MELO EVERTON LOPES	BRAZIL				Y	N					
12/26/2006	DEL CARMEN (THOMSON), BEATRIZ	MEXICO				Y	Y					
7/31/2007	DESTANI, SHPETIM	YUGOSLAVIA	N/A			N	N					

Exhibit C

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Maria M. Gonzalez, et al.,)
)
 Plaintiffs,)
)
 vs.)
)
)
State of Arizona, et al.,)
)
)
 Defendants.)
)
)

No. CV-06-1268-PHX-ROS (Lead)
No. CV-06-1362-PCT-ROS
No. CV-06-1575-PCT-ROS

30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF CRAIG STENDER

Phoenix, Arizona
January 11, 2008
9:04 a.m.

ORIGINAL

REPORTED BY:

Cindy Bachman
Certified Reporter No. 50763

PREPARED FOR:

DISTRICT COURT

1 A. Okay.

2 Q. Thank you. I would like you to walk me through the
3 records matching process that is currently in effect for VRAZ.

4 A. Which -- which --

5 Q. Which --

6 A. Okay. I will try to -- the counties each night around
7 7 p.m. or so send a file to the Secretary of State's office.
8 All voter registration records that have had any activity then
9 are combined in a state file that gets sent to first the Motor
10 Vehicle Division for matching.

11 After that, those results come back, and then any
12 felon records that have been received -- or court records, I
13 should say -- that have been received get compared against a
14 statewide database. Then any death records get compared, and
15 then we check for duplicates.

16 All of the reporting, then, for MVD, court, death, and
17 duplicates then gets printed in a report specific to each county
18 and posted for them to obtain off a secure website.

19 Q. Excellent. Thank you. Let's --

20 A. At that point, no changes in any records have
21 happened. We have posted what we have received or what the
22 computer has matched, and it's on a report for the counties to
23 work.

24 Q. All right. I have one question already for you about
25 the court records.

1 Q. I see on the first page that it's dated February 10,
2 2005; is that correct?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. It's an e-mail message.

5 A. Hold on.

6 Q. Is it correct that the attached list of codes was the
7 set of codes that was in force and in effect at that time?

8 A. I'm not sure.

9 Q. Okay. Do you know at what point the attached list of
10 codes was prepared?

11 A. Somewhere around this date.

12 Q. Is that attached list of codes the set of codes that
13 are in effect today?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Do you anticipate making any changes to that set of
16 codes in the future with the implementation of VRAZ-II?

17 A. No.

18 MR. KENGLE: Thank you. No further questions.

19 MS. BAILEY: I don't have any questions.

20 MS. RAPP: Neither do I. Thank you.

21 MS. PERALES: Thank you.

22 (The deposition concluded at 11:30 a.m.)

23

24

25


CRAIG STENDER

STATE OF ARIZONA)
) ss.
COUNTY OF MARICOPA)

BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing transcript was taken before me, CINDY BACHMAN, a Certified Reporter in the State of Arizona; that the witness before testifying was duly sworn by me to testify the whole truth; that the questions propounded to the witness and the answers of the witness thereto were taken down by the Voice Writing method and translated into text via speech recognition under my direction; at the witness's request, notification was provided that the transcript was available to read and sign; and that the foregoing typewritten pages are a true and correct transcript of all proceedings, all done to the best of my skill and ability.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto, nor am I in any way interested in the outcome hereof.

DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 16th day of
January, 2008.



Cindy Bachman
Certified Reporter No. 50763

Exhibit D

PETITION PARTNERS

FORMS ACCEPTED	FORMS SUBMITTED	PERCENTAGE	BATCH ID	RECEIVED DATE	PERSON SUBMITTING
33	43	77%	3613	9/15/2005	ERIC MASS
11	19	58%	3636	9/27/2005	ERIC MAAS
54	74	73%	3676	10/13/2005	ERIC MAAS
25	38	66%	3688	10/20/2005	ERIC MAAS
59	91	65%	3703	10/25/2005	ERIC MAAS
38	47	81%	3740	11/4/2005	ERIC MAAS
34	49	69%	3795	11/22/2005	MICHAELA O PERRY
21	24	88%	3802	12/1/2005	MICHAELA PERRY
35	64	55%	3858	12/19/2005	MICHAELA PERRY
27	35	77%	3860	12/22/2005	MICHAELA PERRY
9	10	90%	3870	1/5/2006	MICHAELA PERRY
55	74	74%	3883	1/11/2006	MICHAELA PERRY
1	1	100%	3902	1/19/2006	KENNETH R. CARPENTER
2	3	67%	3917	1/24/2006	KENNETH R. CARPENTER
1	2	50%	3993	2/15/2006	KENNETH R. CARPENTER
2	2	100%	4015	2/22/2006	KENNETH R. CARPENTER
199	249	80%	4065	3/8/2006	MICHAELA PERRY
112	252	44%	4190	4/19/2006	ERIC MAAS
1	2	50%	4224	5/4/2006	KENNETH R. CARPENTER
1	1	100%	4248	5/11/2006	KENNETH CARPENTER
2	2	100%	4256	5/12/2006	KENNETH R. CARPENTER
17	230	7%	4455	6/30/2006	SEAN PETERSON
21	80	26%	4487	7/12/2006	ERIC MAAS
256	937	27%	4964	3/22/2007	ANDREW D. CHAVEZ
88	323	27%	4983	4/10/2007	SUSAN PETERSON
21	158	13%	4993	4/13/2007	SUSAN PETERSON
9	20	45%	5007	4/19/2007	SUSAN PETERSON
29	146	20%	5009	4/19/2007	SUSAN PETERSON
77	258	30%	5020	5/1/2007	SUSAN PETERSON
319	2,357	14%	5036	5/9/2007	SUSAN PETERSON
313	1,724	18%	5044	5/15/2007	SUSAN PETERSON
241	1,114	22%	5048	5/18/2007	SUSAN PETERSON
426	2,165	20%	5052	5/25/2007	SUSAN PETERSON
251	1,425	18%	5060	6/1/2007	SUSAN PETERSON
563	2,095	27%	5066	6/8/2007	SUSAN PETERSON
372	1,936	19%	5080	6/15/2007	ELISE LAVIOLETTE
1	15	7%	5087	6/12/2007	ANDREW CHAVEZ
594	2,262	26%	5094	6/21/2007	ELISE LANIOLETTE
609	2,452	25%	5098	6/29/2007	SUSAN PETERSON
396	1,410	28%	5111	7/6/2007	SUSAN PETERSON
424	1,700	25%	5127	7/13/2007	SUSAN PETERSON
444	1,895	23%	5147	7/27/2007	SUSAN PETERSON
110	657	17%	5157	8/3/2007	ELISE LA VIOLETTE
107	403	27%	5183	8/17/2007	SUSAN PETERSON
76	249	31%	5204	8/31/2007	SUSAN PETERSON
109	415	26%	5205	8/24/2007	SUSAN PETERSON
111	237	47%	5225	9/14/2007	SUSAN PETERSON
6,706	27,745	24%			

Exhibit E

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Maria M. Gonzalez, et al.,)
)
 Plaintiffs,)
) No. CV-06-1268-PHX-ROS (Lead)
 vs.) No. CV-06-1362-PCT-ROS
) No. CV-06-1575-PCT-ROS
State of Arizona, et al.,)
)
 Defendants.)
)

RESUMED DEPOSITION OF KAREN OSBORNE

January 23, 2008

Phoenix, Arizona

ORIGINAL

BY: CINDY BACHMAN, CR #50763

UNITED COURT REPORTERS, INC.
Court Reporting Service
(520) 792-2600 or (800) 759-9075

CONFERENCE ROOMS:
Suite 200
177 North Church Avenue

MAILING ADDRESS:
P.O. Box 17507
Tucson, Arizona 85731

1 look back in 2005, it appears that we were at about 35 percent
2 for the year. Obviously, this started later in the year.

3 Then we come down into the 17 percent in 2006, but we
4 go back up to 24 percent in 2007. This was such a large
5 amount -- there were 27,745 on this particular chart -- only
6 6,000 of these were good. So the rejection rate then came back
7 up tremendously because these are over-the-counter, not through
8 MVD, registrations.

9 Q. Do you have an explanation for the drop-off in
10 acceptance rates, just from what's shown on this document?

11 MS. RAPP: Foundation.

12 THE WITNESS: The only thing that I can tell you is
13 that these forms are not carefully taken. There is everything
14 that could make a form unacceptable on there.

15 Plus the driver's license don't match when they come
16 back. We send these in through MVD. They're not the same
17 people. In some cases, the addresses don't exist at all.

18 These are what Mr. Chavez submitted. As you can see
19 on there, he submits in his own batches. There's a very low
20 percentage of acceptance in those that he submits himself.

21 In a meeting with Mr. Chavez that our legal counsel
22 was at and some of the staff, he was very clear to me that he
23 was making \$4 a piece for these. So I don't know whether that
24 was his motivation or not, but I know that this is woefully --
25 any time you have that small of an acceptance level, it is of

1 years we'd have 60,000. But we do test for signature on the
2 provisionals.

3 MS. PERALES: Okay. Thank you.

4 MS. HARTMAN-TELLEZ: I have no further questions for
5 the witness. You're excused.

6 (The deposition concluded at 10:12 a.m.)

7

8

9

KAREN OSBORNE

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Exhibit F

From: Connor Colleen [mailto:connorc@mcao.maricopa.gov]
Sent: Friday, November 16, 2007 1:57 PM
To: Nina Perales
Cc: Kathleen E. Rapp
Subject: Voter Registration organization

Dear Nina:

You had inquired about a presentation where Karen Osborne discussed the registration of individuals who in the process of becoming US citizens. The Elections Department had an informal meeting with the State and County Chairmen of the AZ Democratic Party and representatives from an organization called Petition Partners. The Democratic Party had a contract with Petition Partners to gather the voter registrations.

Over the last 2 years, Petition Partners has submitted 27,745 voter registration forms to Maricopa County. Only 6,706 of those registrations were accepted. 21,039 forms were rejected for a range of problems – illegible, incomplete, bad address, and no proof of citizenship. Attached is a spreadsheet distributed at the meeting that shows the numbers of forms submitted and rate of rejection.

Karen also relayed her sympathy for those individuals who had attempted to register and on the path to citizenship. But by submitting a voter registration application while a non-citizen, they would forever be precluded from becoming a citizen. Karen recalled approximately 25 cases when this occurred, but would have no way of knowing the names of those individuals. Since that meeting, the Democratic Party ended its contract with Petition Partners. Also, the number of rejected forms from that organization has declined in the last few months.

Best regards,
Colleen Connor
Deputy County Attorney
Maricopa County Attorney's Office
222 N. Central Ave., Suite 1100
Phoenix, AZ 85003
Telephone: 602-506-0128
Fax: 602-506-8567

Exhibit G

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Maria M. Gonzalez, et al.,)
)
 Plaintiffs,)
) No. CV-06-1268-PHX-ROS (Lead)
 vs.) No. CV-06-1362-PCT-ROS
) No. CV-06-1575-PCT-ROS
State of Arizona, et al.,)
)
 Defendants.)
)

RESUMED DEPOSITION OF JASPER ALTAHA

January 23, 2008

Phoenix, Arizona

ORIGINAL

BY: CINDY BACHMAN, CR #50763

UNITED COURT REPORTERS, INC.
Court Reporting Service
(520) 792-2600 or (800) 759-9075

CONFERENCE ROOMS:
Suite 200
177 North Church Avenue

MAILING ADDRESS:
P.O. Box 17507
Tucson, Arizona 85731

Altaha, Jasper
Gonzalez v. Arizona

1/23/2008

United Court Reporters, Inc.
ucrincorporated@aol.com
520-792-2600
520-722-5180

1 in response?

2 A. For Petition Partners, we didn't have any kind of
3 breakout. From Karen's testimony, they were all lumped
4 together.

5 In other words, if there were bad forms, bad
6 addresses, no driver's license number, no alien identification
7 number, if it was missing any of that, all of that was included
8 on this spreadsheet.

9 Q. And by the spreadsheet, you mean Exhibit 28?

10 A. That's correct.

11 Q. Does Exhibit 28 show us anything about non-citizens
12 attempting to register to vote?

13 A. No, it doesn't.

14 Q. Do you have any other information regarding whether
15 any of the registration applications that you rejected from
16 Petition Partners were rejected because of failure to provide
17 proof of citizenship under Prop 200?

18 A. That's possible, yes.

19 Q. Okay. Tell me about that.

20 A. There's quite a few on there. I can't get into the
21 specifics of it because we did receive a lot of forms from them.
22 They could have given us an A number that didn't match to the
23 person or they could have just given us a driver's license that
24 didn't match to any specific person.

25 As I stated in the last testimony, we did get driver's

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

JASPER ALTAHA

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF ARIZONA)
)
 COUNTY OF MARICOPA)


BE IT KNOWN I took the foregoing deposition; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter in the State of Arizona;; that by virtue thereof, I was authorized to administer an oath; that the witness, JASPER ALTAHA, before testifying was duly sworn to testify to the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and the testimony of the witness was reduced to writing under my direction.

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not relative or attorney of either party or otherwise interested in the events of this action.

(x) Pursuant to request, notification was provided that the deposition is available for review and signature.

() Deposition review and signature was not requested.

Signed and dated this 31st day of January, 2008.



CINDY BACHMAN
 Certified Reporter
 State of Arizona, #50763