

EXHIBIT A

Incorrect Citations in Gonzalez Plaintiffs Post-Trial Memorandum

Cite	Description
<p>Kanefield 01/11/08 Depo. 21:22-24</p>	<p>Gonzalez Plaintiff Post-Trial Memorandum page 6 states: Four Arizona tribes include members who were born in Mexico.</p> <p>The cite states: “knowledge of any incident since January 1, 1996 involving allegations of fraud in military personnel registering to vote”</p>
<p>Ratliff 04/22/08 Depo. 19:16-19, 32:14-19, 35:13-21, 44:2-4, 54:7-14</p>	<p>Gonzalez Plaintiff Post-Trial Memorandum page 7 states: that because of delays in data entry into the SAVE program that County Recorders are unable to confirm citizenship of newly-naturalized citizens for two weeks or longer.</p> <p>19:16-19 states: “And I do know that for at least the Web 1 access method, the primary query is not by Certificate of Naturalization number; it’s by A-number.”</p> <p>32:14-19 states: “Q: Is it correct, then, to say that currently, the SAVE system does not allow you to see, or does not pull up information about the number of Certificate of Naturalization for a naturalized citizen? A: Yes.”</p> <p>35:13-21 states: “Can you confirm the place on this form where CIS responds, confirming that somebody is naturalized? A: It’s tiny font, but I will try. I don’t see any category – or category is the wrong word, in the response section, section B. I don’t see any possible printed response that would say naturalized citizen.”</p> <p>44:2-4 states: “Q: Is that the method used by Arizona county recorders to verify citizenship? A: Yes.”</p> <p>54:7-14 states: “Hit ‘Submit Additional Verification,’ and then the user will see the next screen will say, ‘DHS Verification in Process,’ meaning you’ve just got to wait until we get back to you, we’ve got to search around. Q: At that point, does it turn into a manual task by CIS to try to verify? A: Yes.”</p> <p>Ratliff actually testifies, “I don’t know how much time it takes.” [Ratliff 04/22/08 Dep. 62:13]</p>
<p>Osborne 07/31/06 Dep. 99: 10-19</p>	<p>Has not been designated.</p>
<p>Rodriguez 08/22/06 Dep. 69:12-14</p>	<p>Gonzalez Plaintiff Post-Trial Memorandum page 7 states: The County Recorder requires the applicant to apply again and provide different citizenship information.</p> <p>The cite states: “register to vote for whatever reason. They don’t have birth certificate. Most of them don’t have driver’s license. So we would really depend on their – what is”</p>

Cite	Description
<p>Gage 01/10/08 Dep. 54:22-25</p> <p>Collins 01/10/08 Dep. 20:24-21:2</p>	<p>Gonzalez Plaintiff Post-Trial Memorandum page 9 states: That a Type F license does not show it's designation on its face.</p> <p>Gage 01/10/08 Dep. 54: 22-25: This cite has not been designated and states: "Security or any of the Federal Immigration Agencies can directly update the customer record in the MVD database themselves? A: Not that I'm aware of." Q: So in the instance where and individual does have a"</p> <p>Collins 01/10/08 Dep. 20:24-21:2: The cite states: "Q. I'm drowning in yellow stickies over here, and I'm also losing my train of thought. Let me ask you a slightly different question. You know, it's okay if I ask you a question that you"</p>
<p>Yanofsky 01/10/08 Dep. 71:9-72:17; 77:25-78:11</p>	<p>Gonzalez Plaintiff Post-Trial Memorandum page 9, footnote 2 states: A resident showing a green card at the MVD would receive a Type F card and a permanent legal resident that shows a driver's license from California would not be coded Type F.</p> <p>Yanofsky 01/10/08 Dep. 71:9-72:17 states: That a US Passport shows proof of name and date of birth and that the only function of a F category license is to establish authorized presence. The authorization would be for 90 or 30 days.</p> <p>Yanofsky 01/10/08 Dep. 77:25-78:11 is not designated and actual testimony ends at 77:1. This is just the affidavit from the court reporter.</p>
<p>Tr. 711:4-712:17</p>	<p>Gonzalez Plaintiff Post-Trial Memorandum page 10 states: Service Arizona has rejected approximately 1300 voter applicant with Type F licenses or who posses licenses issued before January 1, 1996.</p> <p>Tr. 711:4-712:17 does not refer to Type F licenses, but for people attempting to register with licenses issued before October 1, 1996.</p>
<p>Altaha 01/14/08 Dep. 22:14-23</p> <p>Dean-Lytle 01/16/08 Dep. 37-8-38:10</p>	<p>Gonzalez Plaintiff Post-Trial Memorandum page 12 states: That contrary to Kanefield testifying that the County Recorders "back date" voter registration applications after receiving proof of citizenship, the date of registration is the date the applicant provided new information even if it falls after election deadlines.</p> <p>Altaha 01/14/08 Dep. 22:14-23 states: That if they are waiting to run an A number through the VIS database, they just hold onto the application until they can get the number verified and the date of registration would be "The date they signed it" or "the date the registration drive occurred or the receive date."</p> <p>Dean-Lytle 01/16/08 Dep. 37-8-38:10 speaks of sending out new registrations form to applicants when there is missing or incorrect information. It does not mention date of registration or "back dating."</p>

Cite	Description
Morrison 01/17/08 Dep. 18:14-19:23	Gonzalez Plaintiff Post-Trial Memorandum page 16 states: “voters who possess valid identification are turned away or forced to vote conditional provisional ballots that are never counted.” Morrison 01/17/08 Dep. 18:14-19:23 states: “Q. Okay. And for what period did you have that post office box address? A: Oh. Since about 1970 to 2007. Q: Okay. A: I – I canceled it when my mother died. Q: Okay. In November of 2007? A: Yes, ma’am. Q: Okay. Can you tell me what the fee was for that PO Box? A: Oh. It varies. In fact, I think even for”

257987